arXiv:1110.2291v1 [math.AG] 11 Oct 2011

When is the ring of T invariants of the homogeneous coordinate ring of G/B a polynomial algebra - connection with the Coxeter elements

S. Senthamarai Kannan, B. Narasimha Chary, Santosha Kumar Pattanayak

October 12, 2011

Chennai Mathematical Institute, Plot H1, SIPCOT IT Park,

Padur Post Office, Siruseri 603103, Tamilnadu, India.

E-mail:kannan@cmi.ac.in, chary@cmi.ac.in, santosh@cmi.ac.in.

Abstract

In this article, we prove that for any indecomposable dominant character of a maximal torus T of a simple adjoint group G such that there is a Coxeter element $w \in W$ for which $X(w)^{ss}_T(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \neq \emptyset$. If further, for any dominant character χ_1 of T such that $\chi_1 \lneqq \chi$ with respect to the dominant ordering, $dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\chi_1})^T) < dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi)^T)$, then the graded algebra $\oplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})^T$ is a polynomial ring in r variables where $r \geq 2$.

Keywords: Indecomposable dominant characters, Coxeter elements.

1 Introduction

In [1],[9],[11] Chevalley,Serre,Shephard-Todd have proved that for any faithful representation V of a finite group H over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, the ring of H invariants $\mathbb{C}[V]^H$ is a polynomial algebra if and only if H is generated by pseudo reflections in $GL(V)$.

Chevalley also proved that for any semisimple algebraic group G over $\mathbb{C}$, the ring of G invariants $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ of the co-ordinate ring of the adjoint representation $\mathfrak{g}$ of G is a polynomial algebra(refer to page 127 in [3]).

In [12], Steinberg proved that for any semisimple simply connected algebraic group G (over any algebraically closed field K) acting on itself by inner conjugation, the ring of G-invariants $K[G]^G$ is a polynomial algebra (refer to theorem in page 41 of [2]).

In[13], D. Wehlau proved a neccessary and sufficient condition for a rational representation V of a torus S for which the ring of S invariants of the co-ordinate ring $K[V]$ is a polynomial algebra.

In [4], [6], some properties of torus quotients of homogeneous spaces has been studied.In [6], when G is simple, the authors describe all Coxeter elements w in the Weyl group W for which the corresponding Schubert variety $X(w)$ has a semi stable point with respect to a non trivial line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on G/B for the action of a maximal torus T, where B is a Borel subgroup of G containing T.

In basic example $\mathbb{P}GL_3$, the dominant characters χ in the root lattice for which there is a Coxeter element w such that $X(w)^{ss}_T(\mathcal{L}_\chi)$ is non empty are $\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, $2\alpha_1+\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_1+2\alpha_2$. In all these cases, an elementary calculation shows that the ring of T-invariants of the homogeneous co-ordinate ring $\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(\mathbb{P}GL_3/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})$ is a polynomial ring.

In $\mathbb{P}GL_4$, these special dominant characters χ are $\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $3\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3$, $\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3$ and $\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+3\alpha_3$. In all these cases too, the ring of T invariants of the homogeneous co-ordinate ring $\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(\mathbb{P}GL_4/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})$ is a polynomial ring.

So, it is natural to ask the following question:

Let G be a simple adjoint group over $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. Let T be a maximal torus of G, B be a Borel subgroup of G containing T. Then, for any indecomposable dominant character χ of T such that there is a Coxeter element w in W such that $X(w)^{ss}_T(\mathcal{L}_\chi)$ is non empty, is the ring of T- invariants of the homogeneous co-ordinate ring $\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})$ a polynomial algebra ?

This paper gives an affirmative answer for the above question with some more conditions on the indecomposable dominant character χ of T.

2 Preliminaries and a basic lemma

Let G be a simple adjoint group of rank l over the field of complex numbers. Let T be a maximal torus of G, B be a Borel subgroup of G containing T. Let $N_G(T)$ denote the normaliser of T in G. Let $W = N_G(T)/T$ denote the Weyl group of G with respect to T. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. We denote by $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of T. Let R denote the roots of G with respect to T. Let $R^+ \subset R$ be the set of positive roots with respect to B. Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_l\} \subset R^+$ denote the set of simple roots with respect to B. Let $\check{\alpha}_i$ denote the co-root corresponding to α_i. Let $\langle ., . \rangle$ denote the restriction of the Killing form to $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $\{\varpi_1, \varpi_2, \cdots \varpi_l\}$ denote the fundamental weights coprresponding to the simple roots $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_l\}$. Let w_0 denote the longest element of W corresponding to B. Let $B^- = w_0 B w_0^{-1}$ denote the Borel subgroup of G opposite to B.

Let $\{X_\beta : \beta \in R\} \bigcup \{H_\beta : \beta \in R^+\}$ be the Chevalley basis for $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let s_i denote the simple reflection corresponding to the simple root α_i. We just recall a

Coxeter element of W is an element of the Weyl group having a reduced expression of the form $s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_l}$ such that $i_j \neq i_k$ whenever $j \neq k$ (for precise definition, refer to [2]).

We denote by G/B, the flag variety of all Borel subgroups of G. For any $w \in W$, we denote by $X(w) = \overline{BwB/B} \subset G/B$ the Schubert Variety corresponding to w. We note that $X(w)$ is stable for the left action of T on G/B.

$X(w)^{ss}_T(\mathcal{L}_\chi)$ denote the set of all semistable points with respect to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ and for the action of T(for precise definition, refer to [7],[8]).

Let $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$ be the adjoint representation of G and $\mathfrak{h} = Lie(T)$ be the Cartan subalgebra of G. Let α_0 be the highest root.

Since G is simple, the adjoint representation $\mathfrak{g}$ is an irreducible representation of G with highest weight α_0, the highest root.

Let $v^+ = X_{\alpha_0}$ be a highest weight vector of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\phi_1 : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ be the T-equivariant projection.

For each positive root $\alpha \in R^+$, we denote by $U_{-\alpha}$, the T- stable root subgroup of B corresponding to $-\alpha$.

Let U^- be the unipotet radical of the opposite Borel subgroup $w_0Bw_0^{-1}$.

Then, we have $U^- = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} U_{-\alpha}$.

Consider $U^-v^+ \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the orbit of v^+. Let $\phi := \phi_1|_{U^-v^+} : U^-v^+ \to \mathfrak{h}$ be the restriction of ϕ_1 to U^-v^+.

Lemma 2.1. *ϕ is onto.*

Proof. Since α_0 is dominant, we can choose a simple root γ_1 such that $\langle \alpha_0, \check{\gamma_1}\rangle \geq 1$. Choose distinct simple roots $\gamma_2, \gamma_3, \cdots, \gamma_{l-1}$ such that for all $r = 1, 2\cdots, l-1$, $\sum_{j=1}^r \gamma_j$ is a root.

Denote $\theta_r = \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma_j$, $r = 1, 2, \cdots, l-1$. Again since $\langle \alpha_0, \check{\theta_r}\rangle \geq 1$ for $1 \leq r \leq l-1$, each $\beta_r := \alpha_0 - \theta_r$ is a root.

For every choices of $c_0, c_r, c'_r \in K$, $1 \leq r \leq l-1$ we claim that $u_{-\alpha_0}(c_0)v^+ + \sum_r u_{-\beta_r}(c_r)u_{-\theta_r}(c'_r) \in \phi(U^-v^+)$.

Now $(exp(c_0X_{\alpha_0}))(exp(c_1X_{\beta_1}))(exp(c'_1X_{\theta_1}))(exp(c_2X_{\beta_2}))(exp(c'_2X_{\theta_2}))\cdots(exp(c_{l-1}X_{\beta_{l-1}}))$ $(exp(c'_{l-1}X_{\theta_{l-1}}))(X_{\alpha_0}) = c_0H_{\alpha_0} - \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} c_rc'_rH_{\beta_r}$.

Again $H_{\alpha_0}, H_{\beta_r}$ are linearly independent since α_0 and β_r are linearly independent.

Take a typical monomial $M = c_0^{m_0}X_{-\alpha_0}^{m_0}c_1^{a_1}X_{-\beta_1}^{a_1}\cdots X_{-\beta_{l-1}}^{a_{l-1}}X_{-\theta_1}^{b_1}\cdots X_{-\theta_{l-1}}^{b_{l-1}}$.

Then Mv^+ has weight zero if and only if $\alpha_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} a_j\beta_j + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k\theta_k$.

Claim: For all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, l-1$ and $k = 1, 2, \cdots, l-1$, there exist unique $r \in \{1, 2, \cdots, l-1\}$ such that $a_r = b_r = 1$ and $a_j = b_j = 0$ for all $j \neq r$.

Now $Mv^+ = 0$ implies $-m_0\alpha_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} a_j\beta_j - \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k\theta_k + \alpha_0 = 0$.

$\Rightarrow (m_0-1)\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} a_j\beta_j + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k\theta_k = 0$
$\Rightarrow (m_0-1)\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} a_j\beta_j + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k(\alpha_0-\beta_k) = 0$
$\Rightarrow (m_0-1)\alpha_0 + (\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k)\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} a_j\beta_j - \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k\beta_k = 0.$
$\Rightarrow ((m_0-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k)\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1}(a_j-b_j)\beta_j = 0.$

Since α_0 and $\beta_j's$ are linearly independent we have
$(m_0-1)+\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} b_k = 0$ and $a_j = b_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, l-1$.

Since m_0 and $b_i's$ are non-negative integers, we have either $m_0 = 1$ and $a_j = b_j$ for all j or $m_0 = 0$ and there exist unique k such that $b_k = 1$ and $b_j = 0$ for all $j \neq k$.

So we have a surjective map $U^-v^+ \supseteq \mathbb{A}^{2l-1} \to \mathbb{A}^l \simeq \mathfrak{h}$ given by
$(c_0, c_1, \cdots, c_{l-1}, c'_1, \cdots, c'_{l-1}) \mapsto (c_0, c_1c'_1 \cdots, c_rc'_r)$ where $c_0, c_1c'_1, \cdots c_rc'_r$ are algebraically independent.

So $\phi : U^-v^+ \to \mathfrak{h}$ is onto. (1)
$\Rightarrow \phi^* : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \to \mathbb{C}[U^-v^+]$ is injective.

Now we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \arrow[rr, "f"] \arrow[dr, "\phi^*"'] & & \oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_0}^{\otimes d}) \arrow[dl] \\
& \mathbb{C}[U^-v^+] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

So f is injective. Again $\mathfrak{g}^* = H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_0})$ and $\mathfrak{h}^* = H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_0})^T$. So $Sym\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is a subalgebra of $\oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_0}^{\otimes d})^T$. Hence the map $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \to \oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_0}^{\otimes d})^T$ is injective. ☐

Theorem 2.2. *The map* $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}] \to \oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_0}^{\otimes d})^T$ *is an isomorphism if* G *is of type* A_n, B_2 *and* C_n.

Proof. First we prove that the map $T\backslash\backslash U^-v^+ \to \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h})$ given by
$(z, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_{n-1}) \mapsto (z, x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}y_{n-1})$ is injective.

Let $(z, x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}y_{n-1}) = (z', x'_1y'_1, x'_2y'_2, \cdots, x'_{n-1}y'_{n-1})$ for some $\underline{x} = (z, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_{n-1})$ and $\underline{x'} = (z', x'_1, x'_2, \cdots, x'_{n-1}, y'_1, y'_2, \cdots, y'_{n-1})$ in $(U^-v^+)^{ss}$. This implies $z = z', x_iy_i = x'_iy'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$. We need to prove that $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{x'}$ are in the same T-orbit.

Case 1: All the co-ordinates in $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{x'}$ are non-zero.

Consider the non-zero scalars $\frac{z'}{z}, \frac{x'_i}{x_i}, \frac{y'_i}{y_i}$, $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$. Since α_0 and θ_i, $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$ are linearly independent. By lemma (C), page 104 of [4], there exists a $t \in T$ such that $\frac{z'}{z} = \alpha_0(t^{-1})$ and $\frac{x'_i}{x_i} = \theta_i(t^{-1})$, $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n-1\}$, i.e., $z' = \alpha_0(t^{-1})z$ and $x'_i = \theta_i(t^{-1})x_i$. So we have $\alpha_0(t^{-1}) = 1$.

Now $y_i' = \frac{x_i y_i}{x_i'} = \frac{x_i y_i}{\theta_i(t^{-1})x_i} = \theta_i(t)y_i = (\alpha_0 - \beta_i)(t)y_i = \alpha_0(t)\beta_i(t^{-1})y_i = \beta_i(t^{-1})y_i$ since $\alpha_0(t) = 1$. Hence $y_i' = \beta_i(t^{-1})y_i$.

Case 2: Not all the co-ordinates in $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{x}'$ are non-zero.

Let $A = \{k : x_k y_k \neq 0\}$. Then $\frac{x_k'}{x_k} \neq 0$ for all $k \in A$. Since $\theta_k, k \in A$ are linearly independent, there exists $t \in T$ such that $x_k' = \theta_i(t^{-1})x_k$, $k \in A$. Then $y_k' = \frac{x_k y_k}{x_k'} = \frac{x_k y_k}{\theta_k(t^{-1})x_k} = \theta_k(t)y_k = (\alpha_0 - \beta_k)(t)y_k = \alpha_0(t)\beta_k(t^{-1})y_k = \beta_k(t^{-1})y_k$, since $\alpha_0(t) = 1$.

Let $I = \{i : x_i = 0, x_i' \neq 0\}$ and $J = \{j : y_j = 0, y_j' \neq 0\}$. Since $x_i y_i = x_i' y_i'$ we have $I \cap J = \emptyset$. So $\alpha_0, \theta_i, i \in I$ and $\beta_j, j \in J$ are linearly independent. Therefore, there exists $\lambda \in Y(T)$ such that $\langle \alpha_0, \lambda \rangle = 0, \langle \theta_i, \lambda \rangle > 0, i \in I, \langle \beta_j, \lambda \rangle > 0, j \in J$ with $\langle \theta_k, \lambda \rangle = 0 = \langle \beta_k, \lambda \rangle, k \in A$.

Let $\underline{x}'' = t.\underline{x}$. Then $\lambda(t)x_i' = t^{\langle \theta_i, \lambda \rangle}x_i'$, for all $i \in I, \lambda(t)y_j' = t^{\langle \beta_j, \lambda \rangle}y_j'$, for all $j \in J, x_i'' = x_i'$ and $y_i'' = y_i'$. Then $lim(\lambda(t)\underline{x}'')_i = x_i'$ for all $i \in A$.

Therefore, $(z, x_1''', x_2''', \cdots x_{n-1}''', y_1''', \cdots, y_{n-1}''') \in T\underline{x}'$ where $x_i''' = x_i'$ if $i \in A$, $y_i''' = y_i'$ if $i \in A$ and $x_i''' = y_i''' = 0$ if $i \in A$.

So they are in the same T-orbit. We can proceed in the same way if we consider $I' = \{i : x_i' = 0$ and $x_i \neq 0\}$ and $J' = \{j : y_j' = 0$ and $y_j \neq 0\}$. ☐

Corollary 2.3. *$\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{g})//G \simeq G/B(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_0})//N_G(T)$, when G is of type A_n, B_2 or C_n.*

Proof. Proof follows from theorem (2.2). ☐

In the following lemma we use additive notation for the group $X(T)$ of charecters of T identifying with the root lattice.

Definition: A non trivial dominant character χ of T is said to be decomposable if there is a pair of non trivial dominant characters χ_1, χ_2 of T such that $\chi = \chi_1 + \chi_2$. Otherwise we will call it indecomposable.

Notation: $X(T)^+$ denotes the set of all dominant characters of T.

$X(T)_i^+$ denotes the set of all indecomposable elements of $X(T)^+$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a simple adjoint group of type A_{n-1}. Let $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i\alpha_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for each $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$ be an element of $X(T)_i^+$ such that $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_{n-1} \rangle = 0$. Suppose that $X(s_{n-1}...s_1)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \neq \emptyset$ then the coefficients a_i ,$i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$ satisfy the following inequality :*

$$a_1 \geqslant a_2 \geqslant a_3 \geqslant .... \geqslant a_{n-1} = 1$$

Proof. Since $X(s_{n-1}...s_1)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \neq \emptyset$, we have $s_{n-1}...s_1(\chi) \leq 0$. So, $a_i \geqslant a_{i+1}$ for each $i = 1, 2, ...n-2$. So it remains to prove that $a_{n-1} = 1$.

If $a_{n-1} \geqslant 2$, let i be the largest positive integer such that $a_{n-i} = ia_{n-1}$.
Since $2a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} = \langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_{n-1} \rangle = 0$, we must have $i \geqslant 2$. So $a_{n-(i+1)} \neq (i+1)a_{n-1}$
$\Longrightarrow a_{n-(i+1)} = ia_{n-1} + c$, where $0 \leq c \leq a_{n-1} - 1$.
If $c = 0$, since χ dominant and $a_j \geq a_{j+1}$ for each $j = 1, 2, ..n-2$, χ must be of the form $ia_{n-1}(\sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \alpha_j) + a_{n-1}(\sum_{j=n+1-i}^{n-1} (n-j)\alpha_j)$. This forces that χ is decomposable, since $a_{n-1} \geq 2$. This is a contradiction to the indecomposability of χ. So, c must be positive.

So $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_1 \rangle \geq 2a_1 - a_2 \geq a_1 \geq a_{n-(i+1)} = ia_{n-1} + c$. Similarly, $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_{n-i} \rangle = 2ia_{n-1} - ia_{n-1} - c - (i-1)a_{n-1} = a_{n-1} - c \geq 1$.

Thus, $\chi - (i\omega_1 + \omega_{n-i})$ is still a non zero dominant weight which is in the root lattice. This is a contradiction to the indecomposability of χ. So, this proves that $a_{n-1} = 1$. ☐

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a simple adjoint group of type A_{n-1}. Let $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \alpha_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1 \cdots, n-1$ be an element of $X(T)_i^+$ such that $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_1 \rangle = 0$. Suppose that $X(s_1 \cdots s_{n-1})_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \neq \emptyset$, then the coefficient $a_i, i = 1, \cdots, n-1$ satisfy the following inequality:*

$$1 = a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1}$$

.

Proof. Similar to lemma 2.4. ☐

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a simple adjoint group of type A_{n-1}. Let $\chi \in X(T)_i^+$.
If $X(s_{i+1}...s_{n-1}s_i...s_1)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \neq \emptyset$ for some $2 \leq i \leq n-2$, then $\chi = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}$.*

Proof. Similar to that of lemma 2.4. ☐

Lemma 2.7. *Let G be a simple adjoint group of type A_{n-1}. Let $\chi \in X(T)_i^+$ be such that $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_{n-1} \rangle = 0$. If $X(s_{n-1}...s_1)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \neq \emptyset$ then $\chi - \alpha_1$ is dominant and $dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi)^T) \leq dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\chi-\alpha_1})^T)$.*

Proof. By lemma 2.4, $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \alpha_i$, where $a_i \geq a_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$ with $a_{n-2} = 2$ and $a_{n-1} = 1$.

So, $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_1 \rangle = 2a_1 - a_2 \geq a_1 \geq a_{n-2} = 2$ and hence, $\chi - \alpha_1$ is dominant.

Let $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i \varpi_i$. Then, we have $\chi - \alpha_1 = \sum_{i \neq 1,2} m_i \varpi_i + (m_1 - 2)\varpi_1 + (m_2 + 1)\varpi_2$.

Let S_χ (resp. $S_{\chi-\alpha_1}$)be the set of all T-invariant standard monomials of shape χ (resp. $\chi - \alpha_1$). We show that there is a surjective map $\phi : S_{\chi-\alpha_1} \to S_\chi$.

Let p be a T-invariant standard monomial of shape $\chi - \alpha_1$(refer to [10] for standard monomial). Let q be a factor of p corresponding to $(m_1 - 2)\varpi_1 + (m_2 + 1)\varpi_2$. So, $q = p_{i_1 j_1} \cdots p_{i_{m_2+1} j_{m_2+1}} . p_{k_1} \cdots p_{k_{m_1-2}}$ such that $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{m_2} < i_{m_2+1} < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_{m_1-2}$ and $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m_2} < j_{m_2+1}$.

Consider the set $\{i_{m_2+1}, j_{m_2+1}, k_1, \cdots, k_{m_1-2}\}$ having m_1 distinct elements. Label them in the increasing order say $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_{m_1}$. Now, let $q' = p_{i_1 j_1} \ldots . p_{i_{m_2} j_{m_2}} . p_{b_1} \ldots p_{b_{m_1}}$. Clearly, $\frac{p}{q}.q'$ is a T -invariant standard monomial of shape χ.

Define $\phi : S_{\chi-\alpha_1} \to S_\chi$ by $\phi(p) = \frac{p}{q}.q'$, where q and q' are constructed above. Then, ϕ is surjective. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a simple adjoint group of type A_{n-1}, $n \neq 4$. Let $\chi \in X(T)^+$. If $w \in W$ is a Coxeter element such that $X(w)^{ss}_T(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \neq \emptyset$ then $\{i \in \{1, \cdots, n\} : l(ws_i) = l(w) - 1\} \subseteq \{1, n-1\}$.*

Proof. Let $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i\alpha_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose there is a $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ such that $l(ws_i) = l(w) - 1$. Since $w\chi \leq 0$ we have $a_{i-1} + a_{i+1} \leq a_i$. Since $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_{i-1} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_{i+1} \rangle \geq 0$ we have $2a_{i-1} \geq a_{i-2} + a_i$ and $2a_{i+1} \geq a_i + a_{i+2}$.
So we have $2a_i \geq 2(a_{i-1} + a_{i+1}) \geq 2a_i + a_{i-2} + a_{i+2}$
$\Longrightarrow a_{i-2} + a_{i+2} = 0$
$\Longrightarrow a_{i-2} = a_{i+2} = 0$
$\Longrightarrow i - 2 \leq 0$ and $i + 2 \geq n$
$\Longrightarrow i = 2$ and $i = n - 2$
$\Longrightarrow i = 2$ or $i = 4$. which is contradiction to assumption $n \neq 4$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\chi \in X(T)^+_i$ be such that there is a Coxeter element $w \in W$ for which $X(w)^{ss}_T(\mathcal{L}_\chi) \neq \emptyset$. If further, for any $\chi_1 \in X(T)^+$ such that $\chi_1 \precneqq \chi$, the $dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\chi_1})^T) < dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi)^T)$, then the graded algebra $\oplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})^T$ is a polynomial ring in r variables where $r \geq 2$.*

Proof. Proof is by case by case analysis.

In type A_{n-1}, $n \neq 4$, the dominant characters of T for which there are Coxeter elements w such that $X(w)^{ss}_T(L_\chi)$ is non empty are $(n+1)\varpi_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (n+1-i)\alpha_i$, $(n+1)\varpi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n i\alpha_i$, and the highest root $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i$.
From lemma 2.8, $w = s_{i+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_i \cdots s_1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ or $w = s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}$.
If $w = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$ and $\langle \chi, \check{\alpha}_{n-1} \rangle = 0$ then from lemma 2.7

$$dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi)^T) \leq dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\chi-\alpha_1})^T).$$

This is a contradiction to the hypothesis on χ.
This proves that either $w = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$ with $\chi = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}$ or $w = s_1 \cdots s_{n-1}$ with $\chi = \alpha_1 + \cdots \alpha_{n-1}$ or $w = s_{i+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_i \cdots s_1$, where $2 \leq i \leq n-2$.

Now, If $w = s_{i+1} \cdots s_{n-1} s_i \cdots s_1$,where $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ then $\chi = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}$ by lemma 2.6.
So, the only possibility of χ is $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}$. In this case the ring $\bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}_{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}})^T$ is a polynomial ring in some r ($r \geq 2$) variables follows from theorem 2.2.

In A_3, we have either $\chi = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ or $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2\varpi_2$.

We first deal this special case of $\chi = 2\varpi_2$ in A_3.

In this case the Coxeter element is $w = s_1 s_3 s_2$. Let a typical monomial $\prod_{i<j} p_{ij}^{m_{ij}}$ in the Plüker co-ordinates which is T-invariant. Then it is easy to see that each of the indices $1, 2, 3, 4$ occur same number of times. So if p_{12} (resp. p_{13}) is a factor of T invariant monomial M, then p_{34} (resp. p_{24}) is also a factor of M. Also, if p_{14} is a factor of T invariant monomial M, then p_{23} also a factor of M. But by the Plüker relation we have

$$p_{14}p_{13} = p_{13}p_{24} - p_{12}p_{34}.$$

So, $p_{13}p_{24}$ and $p_{12}p_{34}$ generate the ring of T invariants of $\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\chi}^{\otimes d})$.

Thus,

$$\oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/P_2, \mathcal{L}_{2\varpi_2}^{\otimes d})^T = \mathbb{C}[p_{13}p_{24}, p_{12}p_{34}],$$

where P_2 is the the maximal parabolic subgroup associated to α_2. Hence $\oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/P_2, \mathcal{L}_{2\varpi_2}^{\otimes d})^T$ is a polynomial algebra.

By the theorem 4.2 in [6], when G is of type B_n we must have $\chi = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n = \varpi_1$ and $w = s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1$.
Now consider the standard representation $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ of SO_{2n+1}. Then.

$$dim(Sym^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1^*})) = (n+1)(2n+1).$$

By Weyl dimension formula, the dimension of the irreducible representation $V(2\varpi_1)$ of SO_{2n+1} is

$$\prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+} \frac{\langle 2\varpi_1 + \rho, \check{\alpha}\rangle}{\langle \rho, \check{\alpha}\rangle}.$$

Again since $\langle 2\varpi_1 + \rho, \check{\alpha}\rangle = \langle \rho, \check{\alpha}\rangle$ for $\alpha \ngeq \alpha_1$, we have

$$dim(V(2\varpi_1)) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+, \alpha\geq\alpha_1} \frac{\langle 2\varpi_1 + \rho, \check{\alpha}\rangle}{\langle \rho, \check{\alpha}\rangle}.$$

The set of $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ such that $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ is $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} + 2\alpha_n, \cdots, \alpha_1 + 2(\alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n)\}$.

$\frac{\langle 2\varpi_1+\rho, \check{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_i}\rangle}{\langle \rho, \check{\alpha_1+\cdots\alpha_i}\rangle} = \frac{i+2}{2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

$\frac{\langle 2\varpi_1+\rho, \check{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n}\rangle}{\langle \rho, \check{\alpha_1+\cdots\alpha_n}\rangle} = \frac{2n+3}{2n-1}$

$\frac{\langle 2\varpi_1+\rho, \check{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{i-1}+2(\alpha_i+\cdots\alpha_n)}\rangle}{\langle \rho, \check{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_{j-1}+2(\alpha_j+\cdots\alpha_n)}\rangle} = \frac{2n-j+2}{2n-j}$ $2 \leq j \leq n$. Hence

$$dim(V(2\varpi_1)) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Phi^+, \alpha\geq\alpha_1} \frac{\langle 2\varpi_1 + \rho, \check{\alpha}\rangle}{\langle \rho, \check{\alpha}\rangle} = n(2n+3).$$

Now $(Sym^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})^*)^{SO_{2n+1}}$ is one dimensional, namely generated by the quadratic form q which defines the orthogonal group SO_{2n+1}. Hence we have

$$Sym^2(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})^* = V(2\varpi_1)^* + \mathbb{C}q.$$

Let T be the maximal torus of the form $\{diag(t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n, 1, t_{n+2}, \cdots, t_{2n+1}) : t_i^{-1} = t_{2n+2-i}\}$. Since every SO_{2n+1}-invariant is also a T-invariant, we have $q = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-a_i)x_i x_{2n+2-i}$. There is a unique quadratic relation among the variables $x_i x_{2n+2-i},\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1$. So $ax_{n+1}^2 = \sum_i^n x_i x_{2n+2-i}$ for some non zero $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Since q-vanishes on $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})/P_1$, where P_1 is the maximal parabolic associated to α_1.
We have $ax_{n+1}^2 = \sum_i^n x_i x_{2n+2-i}$ on $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})/P_1$. (2)

Now we explain all the T-invariant polynomials restricted to $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})/P_1$. Take a T-invariant polynomial $x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}\cdots x_{2n+1}^{m_{2n+1}}$ with $m_i = m_{2n+2-i}$. The relatilon (2) implies that every T-invariant polynomial restricted to $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})/P_1$ is a linear combination of the monomials of the form $(x_1x_{2n+1})^{r_1}(x_2x_{2n})^{r_2}\cdots(x_{n-1}x_{n+3})^{r_{n-1}}x_{n+1}^{r_n}$. Thus the map

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1x_{2n+1}, x_2x_{2n}, \cdots x_{n-1}x_{n+3}, x_{n+1}] \to \oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/P_1, \mathcal{L}_{2\varpi_1}^{\otimes d})^T$$

is onto. (3)

Now we see that $dim(P_1)_u^- = |\{\alpha \in \Phi : \alpha \geq \alpha_1\}| = 2n - 1$. Hence the dimension of the affine cone over G/P_1 is of dimension $2n$.

So the Krull dimension of $\oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/P_1, \mathcal{L}_{2\varpi_1}^{\otimes d})^T$ is $2n - n = n$. (4)

From (3) and (4) we conclude that
$\oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/P_1, \mathcal{L}_{\varpi_1}^{\otimes d})^T = \mathbb{C}[X_1X_{2n+1}, X_2X_{2n}, \cdots, X_{n-1}X_{n+3}, X_{n+1}]$ is a polynomial ring.

Type C_n: When G is of the type C_n, by theorem 4.2 of [6], we must have $w = s_n \cdots s_1$ and $\chi = 2\varpi_1 = 2(\sum_{i\neq n}\alpha_i) + \alpha_n$.

Type D_4: By theorem 4.2 of [6] the only coxeter elements $w \in W$ for which there exist a dominant weight χ such that $w\chi \leq 0$ are $s_4s_3s_2s_1$, $s_4s_1s_2s_3$ and $s_3s_1s_2s_4$.

For $w = s_4s_3s_2s_1$, take $\chi = 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$, for $w = s_4s_1s_2s_3$, take $\chi = 2(\alpha_3 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_1 + \alpha_4$ and for $w = s_3s_1s_2s_4$, take $\chi = 2(\alpha_4 + \alpha_2) + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$. Then $w(\chi) \leq 0$ in each of these cases.

Since there is an automorphism σ_1 of the Dynkin diagram sending α_1 to α_3 and fixing α_2 and α_4 and there is also an automorphism that sends α_1 to α_4 and fixing α_2 and α_3, it is sufficient to consider the case when $\chi = 2\varpi_1 = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$.

Now consider the standard representation $\mathbb{C}^8$ of SO_8. Then

$$dim(Sym^2(\mathbb{C}^{8^*})) = 36.$$

By using the Weyl dimension formula and by proceeding with similar calculation above we can see that the dimension of the irreducible representation $V(2\varpi_1)$ is 35.

There is always an SO_8 invariant, namely the quadratic form q, the group defind by. Hence we have

$$Sym^2(\mathbb{C}^{8^*}) = V(2\varpi_1)^* + \mathbb{C}q.$$

Let T be the maximal torus of the form $\{diag(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_8) : x_i^{-1} = x_{9-i}\}$. Since every SO_8-invariant is also a T-invariant, we have $q = \sum_{i=1}^{4}(-a_i)x_ix_{9-i}$. There is a unique quadratic relation among the variables $x_ix_{9-i},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. So $x_1x_8 = \sum_i^4 x_ix_{9-i}$. Since q-vanishes on $SO_8(\mathbb{C})/P_1$ we have $x_1x_8 = \sum_i^4 x_ix_{9-i}$ on $SO_8(\mathbb{C})/P_1$. (2)

Now we explain all the T-invariant polynomials restricted to $SO_8(\mathbb{C})/P_1$. Take a T-invariant polynomial $x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}\cdots x_8^{m_8}$ with $m_i = m_{9-i}$. The relatilon (2) implies that every T-invariant polynomial restricted to $SO_8(\mathbb{C})/P_1$ is a linear combination of the monomials of the form $(x_2x_7)^{m_2}(x_3x_6)^{m_3}\cdots(x_4x_5)^{m_4}$. Thus the map

$$\mathbb{C}[x_2x_7, x_3x_6, x_4x_5] \to \oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}H^0(G/P_1, \mathcal{L}_{2\varpi_1}^{\otimes d})^T$$

is onto. (3)

Now we see that $dim(P_1)_{u^-} = |\{\alpha \in \Phi : \alpha \geq \alpha_1\}| = 6$. Hence the dimension of the affine cone G/P_1 is of dimension 7.

So the Krull dimension of $\oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}H^0(G/P_1, \mathcal{L}_{2\varpi_1}^{\otimes d})^T$ is $7-4 = 3$. (4)

From (3) and (4) we conclude that $\oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}H^0(G/P_1, \mathcal{L}_{2\varpi_1}^{\otimes d})^T$ is a polynomial ring.

Type $D_n, n \neq 4$: By theorem 4.2 of [6], $w = s_n\cdots s_1$ and $\chi = 2\varpi_1 = 2(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}) + \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n$. Proof in this case is similar to that of $\chi = 2\varpi_1$ in type B_n. □

Corollary 2.10. *Let G be a simple adjoint group. Let $\chi \in X(T)_i^+$ be such that for any $\chi_1 \in X(T)^+$ satisfying $\chi_1 < \chi$, $dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_{\chi_1})^T) < dim(H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi)^T)$ for which there is a Coxeter element w in W such that $X(w)_T^{ss}(\mathcal{L}_\chi)$ is non empty. Then, the $N_G(T)$ invaraints of the homogeneous co-ordinate ring $\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})$ is a polynomial ring.*

Proof. When G is of type A_n, B_2 or C_n, and $\chi = \alpha_0$, the highest long root, then, the ring of T invariants of the homogeneous co-ordinate ring $\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$, and so the W- inavariants of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ is a polynomial ring by [Chevalley, Shephard-Todd, Serre].

In the case of $\chi = 2\varpi_1$ of type B_n, from theorem 2.9, we have

$$\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})^T = \mathbb{C}[X_1X_{2n+1}, X_2X_{2n}, \cdots X_nX_{n+2}]$$

the action of the Weyl group $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ on the space $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}X_iX_{2n+2-i}$ factor through the natural map $S_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \longrightarrow S_n$. Further the resulting action of S_n on $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}X_iX_{2n+i}$ is given by the natural action of S_n on $\mathbb{C}^n$. So , the ring of W- invariants of $\oplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}_\chi^{\otimes d})^T$ is a polynomial ring generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials in the variables $\{X_iX_{2n+2-i} : i = 1, \cdots, n\}$.

When G is of type A_3, the Weyl group is S_4, and let V be the two dimensional vector space generated by $p_{12}p_{34}$ and $p_{13}p_{24}$. Then, the ring of T invariants of $\bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} H^0(G/B, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes d}_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3})$ is $Sym(V)$. So, we have the two dimensional representation of S_4. Actually, this representation is the standard two dimensional represenation of S_3, and it factors through the surjective homomorphism $\phi : S_4 \longrightarrow S_3$ given by the natural homomorphism from $S_4 \longrightarrow S_4/\{id, (12)(34), (14)(23), (13)(24)\}$.

For the case of D_n, the proof is similar to that of B_n.

$\square$

References

[1] C.Chevalley, Invariants of Finite Groups Generated by Reflections, Amer. J. Math. 77(1955), 778-782.

[2] J. E. Humphreys, Conjugacy classes in semisimple algebraic groups, Math. Surveys Monographs, vol. 43, Amer. Math. Soc.

[3] J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1972.

[4] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

[5] S. S. Kannan, Torus quotients of homogeneous spaces-II, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.(Math. Sci), 109(1999), no 1, 23-39.

[6] S. S. Kannan, S.K.Pattanayak, Torus quotients of homogeneous spaces-minimal dimentional Schubert varieties admitting semi-stable points, Proc.Indian Acad.Sci.(Math.Sci), 119(2009), no.4, 469-485.

[7] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan, Geometric Invariant theory, (Third Edition), Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1994.

[8] P.E. Newstead, Introduction to Moduli Problems and Orbit Spaces, TIFR Lecture Notes, 1978.

[9] J.P.Serre, Groupes finis d'automorphisms d'anneaux locaux reguliers, Colloq. d'Alg. Ecole Norm. de Jeunes Filles, Paris (1967), 1-11.

[10] C.S. Seshadri, Introduction to Standard Monomial theory, Lecture notes No.4, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA, 1985.

[11] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, Finite Unitary Reflection Groups, Canadian J. Math. 6 (1954), 274-304.

[12] R. Steinberg, Regular elements of semisimple algebraic groups, Inst. des Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 25 (1965), 49-80.

[13] D.Wehlau, When is a ring of torus invariants a polynomial ring, Manuscripta Math.82, 161-170(1994).